



WEST HANTS  
NOVA SCOTIA

**MUNICIPALITY OF THE DISTRICT OF WEST HANTS**  
**Council Minutes**  
**April 9, 2019 7:00 p.m.**  
**Sanford Council Chambers**

1. Call to Order

Deputy Warden Morton called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Call of Roll

Present:	A. Zebian	Warden
	P. Morton	Deputy Warden
	R. Jannasch	Councillor District 1
	K. Monroe	Councillor District 2
	D. Keith	Councillor District 3
	T. Leopold	Councillor, District 4
	D. Francis	Councillor, District 5
	R. Hussey	Councillor District 6
	J. Daniels	Councillor District 7
	R. Zwicker	Councillor District 10 (Left at 9:12 p.m.)
	M. Laycock	Chief Administrative Officer
	C. Rochon	Director of Finance
	M. LeMay	Director of Planning and Development
	S. Shah	Municipal Planner
	S. Poirer	Municipal Planner
	R. Brown	Municipal Clerk
	J. Woodman	Administrative Assistant

Guests: R. Tran  
H. Lunan

There were 21 members of the public in attendance.

3. Announcements

Warden Zebian advised that the Council meeting is live-streamed on Facebook, then reviewed the fire evacuation procedures.

Councillor Francis informed is an Easter Egg Hunt Saturday, April 13<sup>th</sup> at the Irishman’s Road Recreation Site from 10:00 a.m. -12:00 p.m.

Councillor Leopold offered congratulations to a group of West Hants Middle School students who were very successful at the Regional Science Fair at the Kingstec Campus and

she gave a special recognition to Falmouth residents Jack Pemberton and Lucas Hildon who will represent the area at nationals next month.

Councillor Leopold noted that it is Volunteer Week and she wanted to share her appreciation to all the volunteers who devote their time in making our community better.

Warden Zebian advised he would be presenting special volunteer awards this evening. Mike Paradis was presented an award for being one of the first people to respond to the house fire in Windsor on March 28<sup>th</sup>. Warden Zebian noted that Mr. Paradis is a Brooklyn Fire Department member and offered that he was instrumental with helping to ensure everyone got out of the structure safely that day.

Warden Zebian presented an award to Denise Chandler in recognition of her heroic efforts in alerting all the neighbors of the fire in Windsor on March 28<sup>th</sup>.

Warden Zebian presented an award to Mike Barkhouse who was working in Yellowknife in 2017 when he visited the SPCA and responded to an employee being attacked by a dog. Warden Zebian advised that Mr. Barkhouse was also recognized by the Lieutenant Governor of Canada and received the medal of bravery, an incredibly high honour.

Warden Zebian offered his appreciation to everyone who assisted at the fire in Windsor on March 28<sup>th</sup>. He also offered appreciation to Tim Flynn for donating a car to the family affected by the fire.

4. Approval of Agenda, including additions or deletions

**MOVED and SECONDED that the agenda be approved as circulated with the following additions and deletions:**

**-delete approval of the Council Minutes of March 12, 2019.**

**-Add 12 (b) 04-09-2019 - Letter from David Hunter - Valley Maple Leafs Don Johnson Memorial Cup.**

**Motion Carried.**

5. Presentation (s)

a. Newport Station School Revival Plan

Warden Zebian introduced Rebecca Tran and Heather Lunan who gave a presentation on the Station Food Hub project.

Ms. Lunan thanked Council for the opportunity to share information about the project which they are very excited about. She explained she is from Pie R Squared, and Rebecca Tran is a public health dietitian, they have joined forces to create The Station Food Hub. She explained they came up with the name "The Station Food Hub" as they would love to see a space for food processing, food production, food distribution, and storage. She indicated they looked around at a few other locations for a home for their plans and the former Newport Station School building came up. A 3-minute video was shown to explain the concept of a Food Hub.

Councillor Daniels expressed her excitement about this project and offered that she is all about sustainability. She advised when the school was reverted back to West Hants, she wanted to see something good done with this property. She offered she is behind the project completely. Councillor Francis advised that she was also behind and the project and was looking forward to what it will offer to the community.

Councillor Jannash suggested the location and idea were great, and noted the idea of commercial kitchens was raised at a public meeting in February. He offered this opportunity is exciting with many opportunities at one location. He indicated he would be happy to discuss it further with Ms. Tran and Ms. Lunan at another time.

Warden Zebian noted that he is glad that this opportunity was brought before Council. He noted that Council is excited to see what they have planned and noted that an official announcement will be held at the location on April 10<sup>th</sup> at 2:00 p.m.

**MOVED and SECONDED that the presentation be received and placed on file.  
Motion Carried.**

6. Public Hearings
  - a. 50 Lynch, Newport

**MOVED and SECONDED that the meeting move to a Public Hearing.  
Motion Carried.**

The meeting moved to a Public Hearing at 7:26 p.m.  
The meeting reconvened at 7:37 p.m.

**MOVED and SECONDED that Council gives Second Reading to and approves entering into a development agreement to allow a campground at 50 Lynch Road, Newport Corner, PID 45021391, which is substantively the same as the draft set out in Appendix C of the report to the Planning Advisory Committee dated February 21, 2019, taking note that this development agreement will discharge and replace the development agreement recorded at the Registry of Deeds on June 8, 2016 as document 109062563.  
Motion Carried.**

**MOVED and SECONDED that Council require the Development Agreement for 50 Lynch Road, Newport Corner (PID 45021391) be signed within 120 days from the date of final approval by Council or the date that any appeals have been disposed of; otherwise, this approval will be void and obligations arising hereunder shall be at an end.  
Motion Carried.**

- b. Hantsport Household Livestock Land Use By-law Amendments

**MOVED and SECONDED that the meeting move to a Public Hearing.  
Motion Carried.**

The meeting moved to a Public Hearing at 7:38 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 7:47 p.m.

**MOVED and SECONDED that Council gives Second Reading to and approves the amendment to the text of the Hantsport Municipal Planning Strategy and Land Use By-law to enable livestock on lots located in the residential and commercial zones, in a manner substantially the same as the draft amendment attached to the staff report dated April 9, 2019 as Appendix A.**

Councillor Zwicker commented that having livestock is already being done in Hantsport and that the Town of Windsor permits it as well. He stated once amalgamation occurs there is going to be three sets of planning documents and felt it is very well tailored to Hantsport. He added there are no wells in Hantsport so there is no concern about contamination. The Councillor suggested that Council should listen to the residents who took the time to respond and attend the meeting.

Councillor Hussey offered he does not have a concern about residents owning chickens but suggested it needs to be ensured it does not get out of control and noted currently there is no recourse if this happens which is why he could not support the motion.

Councillor Leopold advised that she supports the motion and was confident in the staff time, community engagement and the support that has come through both electronically and in person, and suggested it speaks volumes.

**Motion Defeated.**

Warden Zebian, Deputy Warden Morton, Councillors Hussey, Francis and Monroe voted nay.

7. Approval of the Public Hearing Minutes (Sewerage and Stormwater Connection, Discharge and Charges By-law; and West Hants Household Livestock Land Use By-law Amendments) of March 12, 2019

**MOVED and SECONDED that the Public Hearing Minutes (Sewerage and Stormwater Connection, Discharge and Charges By-law; and West Hants Household Livestock Land Use By-law Amendments) of March 12, 2019 be approved as circulated noting any errors or omissions.**

**Motion Carried.**

8. Business Arising from Minutes and any Related Correspondence
  - a. Underwood Access – Councillor Leopold

Councillor Leopold noted that direction was given to discuss the Underwood Road access with Windsor, however the opportunity to discuss it was not available at the Co-ordinating Committee meeting. She offered that the issue has been going around for years, and suggested she is seeing an opportunity now specifically through the Co-ordinating Committee with the assistance of the Co-ordinator to make this a reality before April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020. On behalf of constituents she is requesting some type of connector and suggested there is nothing more symbolic of uniting two communities then the dead-end road of Payzant Drive and felt it could be a physical symbol of connection.

Councillor Daniels thought Council agreed to leave this matter for discussion with the new Council once everything is consolidated. She suggested in order for this to happen a Public Hearing would be required between the two units and felt there is not enough time. She cautioned this needs to be a thorough, thoughtful process and she supported leaving it until the consolidation process is finalized and then the next Council can determine what should occur.

CAO Laycock reported a letter was received from the Town of Windsor on March 6<sup>th</sup> with regards to the emergency access gate. He noted that he is seeking direction from Council to respond to the letter. Councillor Leopold suggested by not responding and continuing discussions she sees it as a cop-out and offered that this Council is still in a governing position until April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020.

**MOVED and SECONDED to direct staff to respond to the Town of Windsor's letter of March 6, 2019 to open dialogue to a permanent solution for a second access between Windsor and West Hants in Garland's Crossing.**

Councillor Hussey asked if the access connector issue could be dealt with by the Co-ordinating Committee. The Warden responded such issues are not part of the Committee's mandate, so is still a Council issue.

Councillor Munroe agreed it is an issue, but suggested it needs to be looked at from a planning standpoint and felt there is more to it than just keeping the dialogue open.

**Motion Carried.**

Councillors Zwicker and Daniels voted nay.

9. Report of the Warden

Warden Zebian read his report.

Councillor Hussey indicated he received a call on Saturday from someone actively looking for a house in the area and stating that there are none to purchase. He encouraged developers to come to the area and build homes for the new residents coming to the area.

10. Reconsideration or Rescission of Resolutions of Which Notice Has Been Given on a Previous Day

There were no reconsiderations or rescissions of resolutions.

11. Report(s) of the Committee(s) and Officer(s)

a. Committee of the Whole

i. 03-26-2019 Committee of the Whole Excerpts

**Request for Purchase of Municipal Lands**

**MOVED and SECONDED that Council direct staff to write to Ms. O'Leary and indicate that the Municipality will not be disposing of PID 45321841, Old Trunk #14, as it is intended for current and future public use.**

**Motion Carried.**

Councillors Zwicker and Daniels voted nay.

b. Other Committee Reports or Recommendations

i. 03-03-2019 Rural Representation Committee

Councillor Jannasch provided an information report to say the newly formed Rural Representation Committee met for the first time on March 13, 2019 and again on April 3, 2019. The goal of the Committee is to ensure that the concerns of rural residents are acknowledged and considered during consolidation. He noted that the Committee is aware that they do not have a direct influence on the Co-ordinating Committee however they bring information for consideration to Council, who then can refer it to the Co-ordinating Committee. The Councillor offered the members believe by identifying issues pertinent to rural communities and that the Committee will make a positive contribution to the new regional government.

Councillor Jannasch reported the Committee discussed the boundary review and two citizen members supported the 11-district option, while one member was comfortable with both. He advised the general feeling was in the future the number of districts are likely to diminish.

The Councillor advised the Committee looked at the workplan for the Co-ordinating Committee and agenda items that may be relevant to rural communities and where the Committee could make suggestions. He noted the Committee members were looking for a timeline for consolidation, agendas for the Co-ordinating Committee meetings, and offered that a speaker might be beneficial to the Committee to talk about how the consolidation process will occur; suggesting the newly hired Communications Coordinator would be a good person to provide this presentation. Warden Zebian offered the agendas are posted in advance on the Stronger Region website.

CAO Laycock asked for clarification if the Committee wanted the Communications Coordinator or Communications Consultants. He clarified there is no official Communications Coordinator for Co-ordinating Committee and noted that the West Hants Communication Coordinator, Chrystal Remme, assists at this point and offered the Communication Consultants would not be ready to present until a later date. Councillor Jannasch advised he was under the impression this would be a communications person who would be well versed in the process and available for presentations, he asked for clarification what the Consultant is supposed to do. CAO Laycock noted part of the mandate would be to facilitate public meetings but would come at a later date that has not been determined.

12. Correspondence

a. 04-03-2019 Letter from Mike Trinacity – Valley Wildcats/Acadia Telus Cup Bid  
**MOVED and SECONDED that Council write a letter of support for the Valley  
Wildcats/Acadian Telus Cub Bid.  
Motion Carried.**

- b. 04-09-2019 Letter from David Hunter – Valley Maple Leafs Don Johnson Memorial Cup

**MOVED and SECONDED that Council write a letter of support for the Valley Maple Leafs Don Johnson Memorial Cup.**

**Motion Carried.**

13. Miscellaneous/New Business

- a. Council Meetings under MGA 22 (2) – Councillor Leopold

Councillor Leopold stated she reached out to Municipal Affairs on some issues and she noted they have extended an invitation to visit Council and provide guidance and information.

14. In Camera

- a. In-Camera MGA Section 22 (2) (c) – Personnel Matters
- b. In-Camera MGA Section 22 (2) (f) – Potential Litigation

**MOVED and SECONDED that the meeting move in-camera.**

**Motion Carried.**

The meeting moved in-camera at 8:18 at p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 9:17 p.m.

**MOVED and SECONDED to bring back the tabled motion of March 12, 2019 Council, that Council award RFP WHPW18-12 for the design-build contract for the West Hants Sports Complex to Lindsay Construction Limited in the amount of \$14,601,794 plus applicable taxes and contingencies subject to the purchase and sale of the land from the Windsor Agricultural Society.**

**MOVED and SECONDED to amend the motion as follows:**

**WHEREAS the Municipality of West Hants issued a Request for Proposals (“RFP”) for a design-build sports complex on December 6, 2018, calling for innovative proposals and reserving extensive rights to the Municipality to select the proponent whose proposal is deemed to be the most advantageous to the best interests of the Municipality, notwithstanding it may not be the cheapest or the one with the highest score under the RFP’s scoring matrix;**

**AND WHEREAS the Municipality and area prides itself as being the Birthplace of Hockey and a growing and vibrant community in need of rink/sports complex which is both functional and a source of civic pride;**

**AND WHEREAS the two leading proponents evaluated by the Sports Complex Design Committee (Design Committee) were Roscoe Construction Limited (“Roscoe”) and Lindsay Construction Limited (“Lindsay”);**

**AND WHEREAS** in evaluating the proposals of Lindsay and Roscoe, the Design Committee gave the same technical score under the RFP's scoring matrix to both Roscoe and Lindsay but gave Roscoe a slightly higher financial score;

**AND WHEREAS** the Design Committee recommended the awarding of a contract pursuant to the RFP in favour of Roscoe;

**AND WHEREAS** the Municipality's Committee of the Whole was given the authority to review the recommendation of the Design Committee and exercise its discretion with respect to providing its own recommendation;

**AND WHEREAS** after careful and detailed consideration of the recommendation of the Design Committee, the Committee of the Whole in exercising its own discretion, recommended in favour of awarding the contract to Lindsay;

**AND WHEREAS** only the Council of the Municipality has the ultimate authority to award the contract pursuant to the RFP;

**AND WHEREAS** this Council disagrees with the Design Committee's attribution of the same technical score under the RFP's scoring matrix to both Roscoe's proposal and Lindsay's proposal because of the following:

Council considers flood risk mitigation an integral aspect for the long-term sustainability of the West Hants Sports Complex and the significant investment of taxpayer dollars. Council feels that the Sports Design Committee erred in awarding Lindsay and Roscoe the same score for the criterion "Proposed methodology to initiate and complete the objectives of the project" by not adequately recognizing differences in the proposed solutions for flood risk mitigation.

Specifically, Council feels that Lindsay better meets flood risk mitigation for the following reasons:

1. In accordance with recommendations of the Flood Risk Study, Option 4.4 "Elevate Critical Systems", Lindsay proposed to raise all critical equipment including mechanical, electrical and the ice plant. Roscoe's proposal does not include raising the ice plant equipment. The benefit of raising the ice plant is the protection of very expensive and critical infrastructure in the event of any flood (including 1"100 sea rise flooding event);

Finished floor elevation (FFE) 17.7 inches is higher with Lindsay vs Roscoe; improving stormwater management efforts for potential overland flooding; and

2. Lindsay's building roof design takes rain and snow load to the rear of the building, redirecting stormwater to the rear of the building where the stormwater pond is located, resulting in less stormwater to manage in the parking lot and entrance.

As a result, Council is of the opinion that Roscoe's score as awarded by the Design Committee for the measure "Proposed methodology to initiate and complete the objectives of the project" is too high by two points. This lower both Roscoe's total technical score to 66/80 and its final score to 86/100, whereas Lindsay's final score stands at 87.2/100.

AND WHEREAS this Council also evaluates the Lindsay proposal as providing better overall value to the Municipality than Roscoe's for the following reasons:

1. The Lindsay proposal has 1382 total capacity for a major event, utilizing the arena side and walking track of the sports complex. The Roscoe proposal was for a maximum 750-person capacity for a major event.
2. The Lindsay proposal includes 1116 ft<sup>2</sup> of separated warm-up space allocated for each hockey team (total 2232 ft<sup>2</sup>) at ice level. The Roscoe proposal has a total of approximately 400 ft<sup>2</sup> at ice level.
3. The Lindsay proposal includes 100 linear feet of warm room lower level viewing area to the arena, utilizing full height glass panel walls to maximize viewing space in the lobby/general purpose area. The Roscoe proposal includes 20 linear feet of lower level viewing area to the arena.
4. The Lindsay proposal includes 80 linear feet of warm room upper level viewing to the arena, utilizing glass panel walls to maximize viewing space. Roscoe proposal includes 62 linear feet of upper viewing area to the arena due to the location of the mechanical room placement.
5. The Lindsay proposal includes 540 ft<sup>2</sup> of equipment storage for the fieldhouse. The Roscoe proposal includes 300 ft<sup>2</sup>.
6. The Lindsay proposal includes 27 general public/patron barrier-free washrooms (toilet/urinals), 18 on upper level and 9 on lower level. The Roscoe includes 20 general public/patron barrier-free washrooms (toilet/urinals), 10 on upper level and 10 on lower level. The Lindsay proposal includes one (1) unisex family washroom on each upper and lower level. Roscoe includes one (1) unisex family washroom on the lower level.
7. The Lindsay proposal includes 55 folded-chair design seating for the fieldhouse. The Roscoe proposal includes 50 bench/bleacher style seating area only.
8. The Lindsay proposal includes a finished naturally-lighted community room (unfurnished) to meet the requirement to consider community space in the RFP. The Roscoe proposal only includes space that could potentially be developed into future community space with no natural lighting.
9. The Lindsay proposal includes 648 ft<sup>2</sup> oversized arena finished team dressing room. Finished to include standard wall affixed wooden change room

benches and finished washroom facilities (3 toilets, 2 urinals, 2 sinks and showers). The Roscoe proposal includes 615 ft<sup>2</sup> oversized arena unfinished team dressing room/storage space only and includes rough-in only.

10. The Lindsay proposal includes an efficient ice resurface room layout to utilize ice-dump pit. The Roscoe proposal requires at least a 3-point turn to utilize ice-dump pit, including potential for accidents and safety concerns.

11. The Lindsay proposal has increased available space and layout efficiency (ergonomics) for dressing rooms, washroom and general viewing areas in both the lower and upper levels of the sports complex by raising the ice plant and additional mechanical/electrical infrastructure.

12. The Lindsay proposal features semi-transparent panels with both the arena and fieldhouse, improving the uniqueness and grandeur of the facility exterior, while adding natural lighting to the playing surfaces and walking track.

**AND WHEREAS** Council considers Lindsay's proposal to have creatively repositioned the proposed facility on the site in a manner allowing for a much higher proportion of cost relative to Roscoe's proposal to be expended on above-ground functional, technical and aesthetic characteristics, instead of expending more cost beneath the ground to provide the same benefit, namely a safe foundation to support the superstructure;

**AND WHEREAS** this Council has determined that it is in the best interests of the Municipality to conditionally award the RFP contract to Lindsay notwithstanding both (1) the higher cost of its proposal; and (2) the difference of opinion as between this Council and the Design Committee with respect to the evaluation of the two leading proposals under the scoring matrix;

**AND WHEREAS** the Council has obtained solicitor-client privileged legal advice concerning this matter;

**NOW THEREFORE** it is moved that the Municipality award the contract pursuant to the RFP WHPW18-12 to Lindsay Construction Limited subject to the contract being in a form satisfactory to Council and in particular subject to:

- (a) the successful purchase of required lands from the Windsor Agricultural Society;
- (b) the contract unequivocally requiring Lindsay, without payment of any price adjustment or contract extra therefore, to provide a foundation for the sports complex superstructure that meets all applicable regulatory requirements and which, at the election of the Municipality, also satisfies an independent engineering consultant appointed by the Municipality at its own expense, having regard to ordinary foundation engineering requirements and also to the foundation's ability to withstanding 1:100 flood events;

- (c) **the contract providing provisions satisfactory to this Council to enable the Municipality to terminate or withdraw from the project without undue penalty in the event of withdrawal of funding by any government to the extent of \$500,000 or more; and**
- (d) **the contract in all other respects being in a final form approved by resolution of this Council.**

Councillor Leopold indicated she was not in support of this motion but is in support of building a sports complex. She noted over the last nine months Council discussions have centered around the cost to do this, the impact on taxpayers, the sustainability of what we are offering, the economics of the whole premise. She offered at times Councillors communicated that they would not support one penny more towards the project outside of the budget but noted that Council carefully proceeded and specified the need to keep the budget tight to \$12 million which was stated in the RFP and was stressed multiple times to the Sports Complex Design Committee.

Councillor Leopold suggested she could not support the motion for the following reasons:

1. Money - the single most important factor discussed over the course of this project, she offered that Roscoe's is the cheapest;
2. Money - in deviating from the original site coordinates, Lindsay's suggestion is putting the Municipality at legal risk due to the possibility of an unfair advantage that has been created;
3. Money - because in Lindsay's proposal they have not spoken to their foundational systems;
4. Money - because an offer to assist was never discussed.

Councillor Hussey stated that he felt the public is eager to get this project going and offered he is fully supportive of it and suggested if the project is delayed it is not going to get any cheaper. He felt Council should honour the public's wishes and move forward.

Councillor Keith indicated the Design Committee was made up of five members, two Councillors, the CAO and two citizens. He suggested there are reasons the Committee made the choices they did, adding it was unanimous. He advised Council sent a Request for Proposal away to companies for \$12 million and at the time no drill tests had been completed. He noted the companies requested that this first be done and that an owner's engineer was not in place to help the Municipality.

Councillor Keith referred to a letter from Lindsay's Construction stating that they recognize that they may not have made all the correct assumptions which is why they were fully embracing the review process with the Sports Complex Design Committee to better understand the choices and objects for this project. He noted that Lindsay's offered to assist in raising \$125,000 plus if their project was accepted, although it was referred to as a donation, he felt it was a reward. The Councillor stated that Lindsay's moved to a different site location and suggested that this is an unfair advantage and that they did not put any money in for a foundation site.

Councillor Jannasch indicated initially he expressed concern about going over budget and the operating costs on this project. He offered if Council approves this year's budget most of the deficit servicing charges will be in the first year and in that respect, he is willing to look past the \$12 million, but suggested he still thinks the 7% contingency is too low. He offered the reason he can not support the proposal is because there has been no discussion about operating costs. He noted that Council has had significant time to think about it, discuss it and plan for it. He cautioned that operating costs is typically where municipalities get into trouble. Councillor Jannasch noted for these reasons he could not support the project at this time.

Councillor Hussey clarified that the foundation is included in Lindsay's proposal but the price is not stated out as a dollar figure. He noted as far as the location Lindsay's took the initiative to approach the Windsor Agricultural Society and ask their opinion on where the project should be located, and he noted that the other companies had the same right and opportunity to do that.

Councillor Daniels stated that she felt that we have come along way in a very short period of time. She agreed it would have been beneficial to have more time to have thoughtful and detailed discussions but felt that the Municipality did the best in the situation they were given to access these funds from the other levels of government. She advised that she supports this motion and Lindsay's proposal, suggesting they addressed the flood mitigation and were thoughtful and thorough. The Councillor noted that she had residents come to her very excited about the concept and design that they are offering. She indicated that there are organizations who are excited and preparing for competitions in the future and felt this will have a positive impact on the local economy. She stated that she wanted to see this project go forward sooner than later. She stated if this motion did not pass, then she is willing to make a motion to stop the project.

Councillor Francis stated that she did not see that the site was changed as the Municipality was not told specifically what four acres could be purchased, and the project will be on the same lot of land. She agreed there is lots of good points in the motion on the differences in what we are getting and the capacity. She commented on the operating budget and suggested that the Municipality could learn from the Newport Rink on operations and make this a very successful project.

Councillor Leopold argued that the RFP for the design build was conceptual and it was always the understanding that changes could be made so long as they were not significant but suggested that footprints could not be changed. She noted the motion refers to minor esthetics which she felt was not enough to justify the decision. She offered that Roscoe's had covered flood mitigation through presentations and she urged Council to realize that they are making a significant change. She cautioned having an operational model after the Newport Rink, who do not operate 12 months per year. The Councillor also expressed concern about Lindsay's offering natural light and suggested that windows are the largest

source of energy loss. She stated that with this motion she is very fearful of what is going to happen next.

Councillor Monroe stated that she strongly supports Lindsay for the project and noted that she is extremely disappointed that Council has been divided and felt it was time to move on as a Council as this is a wonderful project for this community.

Warden Zebian offered that he supports the motion and hoped that residents would benefit from it moving forward.

**Amendment Carried.**

Councillors Leopold, Keith and Jannasch voted nay.

**Motion Carried as Amended.**

Councillors Leopold, Keith and Jannasch voted nay.

15. Date of Next Meeting –May 14, 2019

The next Regular Council meeting will be held May 14, 2019 at 7:00 p.m.

16. Adjournment

**MOVED and SECONDED that the meeting be adjourned.**

**Motion Carried.**

The meeting adjourned at 9:57 p.m.

---

Abraham Zebian, Warden

---

Rhonda Brown, Municipal Clerk